

CRA Article 14 Reporting Readiness Checklist

For manufacturers of products with digital elements on the EU market · Reporting obligations apply from 11 September 2026 (Art. 71(2)) · July 2026 edition

This product is a compliance-support tool, not legal advice. It does not determine, submit, or guarantee compliance with CRA (Regulation (EU) 2024/2847) reporting obligations. You (the manufacturer) remain responsible for all reporting decisions, submissions, and deadlines. Verify all outputs and consult a qualified professional.

1. Inventory the products in scope

- List every product with digital elements you place on the EU market, with versions and support status.
- Include **legacy products** already on the market before 11 December 2027: by way of derogation, the Article 14 reporting obligation applies to them (Art. 69(3)).
- Keep the distinction explicit in your records: Art. 69(3) extends the **reporting** duty to legacy products; Art. 69(2) concerns the **substantive** requirements and its "substantial modification" condition. Do not conflate the two paragraphs.
- For each product, record where its incident and vulnerability information lives (e.g., which Jira / JSM projects).

2. Prepare the reportability decision

- Assign a named role who confirms whether a case is reportable, and record the basis for each call.
- Vulnerability path (Art. 14(1)): the duty concerns an **actively exploited vulnerability** — "actively exploited" is a defined term (Art. 3); the essence is reliable evidence of exploitation, not a severity score alone.
- Incident path (Art. 14(3)): the duty concerns a **severe incident**. Art. 14(5) frames severity: (a) negative impact (actual or capable) on the availability, authenticity, integrity or confidentiality of sensitive or important data or functions; (b) the introduction or execution of malicious code.
- Define how you will record the **awareness time** the moment a case opens — not reconstructed later from chat history.
- Treat boundary cases conservatively: interpretation of "becomes aware" and of severity edge cases depends on official guidance — route them to **human / expert confirmation**, and record the reasoning.

3. Prepare the staged reports — and anchor both final-report clocks correctly

Path	Early warning	Notification	Final report — start point
Actively exploited vulnerability (Art. 14(2))	within 24 hours of becoming aware	within 72 hours of becoming aware	within 14 days after a corrective or mitigating measure is available (Art. 14(2)(c))
Severe incident (Art. 14(4))	within 24 hours of becoming aware	within 72 hours of becoming aware	within one month after the 72-hour notification is submitted (Art. 14(4)(c))

- Write the **two different final-report start points** into your runbook as two separate lines. Neither clock starts from awareness.
- Instrument your process to capture the trigger events: the date a corrective / mitigating measure becomes available (vulnerability path) and the timestamp your 72-hour notification is submitted (incident path).
- Pre-agree a skeleton for the 24-hour early warning: it is a first signal, not an analysis — decide in advance who may send an incomplete message.
- Rehearse the flow once end-to-end (tabletop) before 11 September 2026.

4. Prepare the two notices beyond the authorities

- Draft the **affected-user notice** template now (Art. 14(8)): users must be informed of an actively exploited vulnerability and, where relevant, of risk-mitigating or corrective measures they can apply.
- Note the consequence of inaction: where the manufacturer fails to inform users, the coordinating CSIRT may inform them directly where it considers that proportionate and necessary (Art. 14(8)).
- Prepare the **upstream component notice**: where a vulnerability sits in an integrated third-party component (open source included), report it to the person or entity that makes or maintains the component (Art. 13(6)).
- Record component provenance in your tickets so the upstream duty is visible when a case opens.

A complete Article 14 case can require up to five documents: three authority stages plus the two notices above.

5. Prepare for the ENISA Single Reporting Platform

- Structure your internal draft templates around the **per-stage reporting data items ENISA has published** (mandatory / optional / conditional / known-at-the-time markings per stage) — the structure survives form changes.
- Plan for submission via the **single reporting platform** established under Art. 16(1): one submission reaching the coordinating CSIRT and ENISA. Registration procedures and exact forms are still being finalized as of July 2026 — treat dates and onboarding steps as planned, not settled.
- Do not hard-code a submission form. Keep an **export-and-submit** posture: produce a complete draft; a person submits through the official channel in the form it takes at go-live.
- Assign a recurring slot (e.g., biweekly) to check ENISA's SRP pages and the European Commission's CRA reporting page for registration details and the pre-go-live testing period.

Working in Jira or Jira Service Management? CRA Reporting Copilot drafts the full Article 14 set from your tickets and tracks each staged deadline from its correct start point — entirely inside your Atlassian tenant, with no external egress. The Readiness Check is free. Documentation and support: complydraft.com · support@complydraft.com

Sources: Regulation (EU) 2024/2847 (Cyber Resilience Act) — Art. 3, 13(6), 14(1)–(8), 16(1), 69(2)–(3), 71(2), EUR-Lex; European Commission, "Cyber Resilience Act — Reporting obligations" (digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu); ENISA, Single Reporting Platform pages (enisa.europa.eu). Platform status statements reflect public information as of early July 2026 — verify current status on the official pages. This checklist is general information, not legal advice.